Case 1:20-cv-10832-AT-SN Document 377 Filed 10/07/21 Page 1 of 2

CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

NEW YORK PARIS BRUSSELS LONDON

2112 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3229 T: +1 202 974 1500 F: +1 202 974 1999 clearygottlieb.com FRANKFURT D: +1 202 974 1680 COLOGNE msolomon@cqsh.com MOSCOW

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT **ELECTRONICALLY FILED** DOC #: 10/07/2021 DATE FILED:

BUENOS AIRES SÃO PAULO ABU DHABI **SEOUL**

VIA ECF

Hon. Sarah Netburn United States Magistrate Judge Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007

September 24, 2021

Dear Judge Netburn:

We write on behalf of Defendants Bradley Garlinghouse, Christian Larsen and Ripple Labs Inc. ("Defendants") in connection with the Court's in camera review of documents identified on Appendix A to Defendants' motion filed on August 10, 2021. See ECF No. 289-11. The documents identified on Appendix A represent a sample of entries from the SEC's privilege logs submitted as of the date of that filing that, based on the descriptions provided by the SEC, appeared to be most relevant to the claims and defenses in this case. The Court held oral argument on this motion on August 31, 2021, and ordered the SEC to provide the Court with the documents listed in Appendix A for in camera inspection. Two days after the hearing and the Court's order, on September 2, 2021, the SEC provided Defendants with an eighth privilege log with 19 new entries. We respectfully request that the Court add three of those new entries to the body of documents Your Honor will review in camera.

Re: SEC v. Ripple Labs Inc. et al., No. 20-cv-10832 (AT) (SN) (S.D.N.Y.)

The descriptions of three of these documents from the belatedly produced privilege log suggest they may be highly relevant to this case. Two relate to meetings the SEC had with law firms to discuss the unprecedented confusion in the market regarding the SEC's view on the status of digital assets under the federal securities laws. The third is an email chain concerning discussions with a third party whom Defendants understand received guidance from the SEC to analyze its digital asset under the framework set forth in Director William Hinman's June 14, 2018 speech. Had this privilege log been provided before Defendants filed its motion on August 10, 2021, Defendants would have included all three documents in Appendix A. Indeed, if the SEC had provided this privilege log any time in advance of the August 31 hearing, Defendants would have asked the Court to include these three documents in its *in camera* inspection. Instead, Defendants had to ask the SEC voluntarily to include the documents in its September 14 submission to the Court. The SEC filed its brief (ECF No. 355) without responding to this request. The next day, the SEC indicated that it would not submit these documents for the

Court's *in camera* review "absent a specific directive from the Court." Sept. 15, 2021 Letter from Elizabeth Goody to Defendants.

Accordingly, Defendants respectfully ask the Court to order the SEC to submit these three additional documents for *in camera* review.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew C. Solomon

Matthew C. Solomon (msolomon@cgsh.com) CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON 2112 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037 +1 (202) 974-1680

Attorneys for Defendant Bradley Garlinghouse

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 +1 (212) 373-3000

Attorneys for Defendant Christian A. Larsen

KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL, & FREDERICK PLLC Sumner Square 1615 M Street, NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036 +1 (202) 326-7900 DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP 919 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 +1 (212) 909-6000

Attorneys for Defendant Ripple Labs Inc.

Defendants' request is GRANTED. The SEC shall submit the two documents related to the SEC's meetings with law firms and the email chain for *in camera* review, along with a submission explaining its privilege assertions for each of those documents, no later than October 15, 2021. The SEC shall file a redacted version of its submission on the public docket. Defendants' response is due no later than October 22, 2021.

SO ORDERED.

SARAH NETBURN

United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: October 7, 2021

New York, New York